



CME Review

Gut microbiota and allergic disease in children



Sarah L. Bridgman, BSc^{*}; Anita L. Kozyrskij, PhD^{*}; James A. Scott, PhD[†];
Allan B. Becker, MD[‡]; Meghan B. Azad, PhD[‡]

^{*} Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

[†] Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

[‡] Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received for publication August 21, 2015.

Received in revised form September 30, 2015.

Accepted for publication October 2, 2015.

INSTRUCTIONS

Credit can now be obtained, free for a limited time, by reading the review article in this issue and completing all activity components. Please note the instructions listed below:

- Review the target audience, learning objectives and all disclosures.
- Complete the pre-test online at <http://www.annallergy.org> (click on the CME heading).
- Follow the online instructions to read the full version of the article; reflect on all content as to how it may be applicable to your practice.
- Complete the post-test/evaluation and claim credit earned; at this time, you will have earned up to 1.0 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™]. Please note that the minimum passing score on the post-test is 70%.

Release Date: February 1, 2016

Expiration Date: January 31, 2018

Target Audience: Physicians involved in providing patient care in the field of allergy/asthma/immunology

Learning Objectives:

At the conclusion of this activity, participants should be able to:

- Describe associations between infant gut microbiota and childhood allergic disease
- Summarize research and published guidelines on pediatric allergy prevention and management strategies involving the gut microbiota

Accreditation: The American College of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology (ACAAI) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Designation: The American College of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology (ACAAI) designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Planning Committee Members:

Meghan B. Azad, PhD, (Author)

Anne K. Ellis, MD (Associate Editor)

Jonathan A. Bernstein, MD (CME Subcommittee)

Guha Krishnaswamy, MD (CME Subcommittee)

John J. Oppenheimer, MD (CME Subcommittee, Associate Editor)

Mitchell H. Grayson, MD (CME Series Editor, Deputy Editor)

Gailen D. Marshall, Jr, MD, PhD (Editor-in-Chief)

Disclosure of Relevant Financial Relationships:

M.H. Grayson has received research grants from Children's Research Institute/Medical College of Wisconsin, Merck, National Institutes of Health (NIH), and Polyphor. G.D. Marshall has received research grants from Amgen, AstraZeneca, HRSA and National Institutes of Health

Reprints: Meghan B. Azad, PhD, Research Scientist, Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba, Assistant Professor; Pediatrics and Child Health, University of Manitoba, 501G-715 McDermot Avenue, Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3E 3P4; E-mail: meghan.azad@umanitoba.ca.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2015.10.001>

1081-1206/© 2016 American College of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

(NIH). J.J. Oppenheimer has been a consultant/advisor for AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Meda, Mylan, and Sunovion; has received research grants from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline and Novartis. A.K. Ellis has received research grants from Circassia, Sun Pharma Advanced Research, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and GlaxoSmithKline; has also been a consultant/advisor for Circassia, Merck, Novartis, and GlaxoSmithKline; and has been a speaker for Merck, Novartis, Takeda and AstraZeneca. J.A. Bernstein, G. Krishnaswamy, M.B. Azad, A.B. Becker, S.L. Bridgman, A.L. Kozyrskyj, and J.A. Scott have nothing to disclose. Reviewers and Education/Editorial staff have no relevant financial relationships to disclose. No unapproved/investigative use of a product/device is discussed.

Recognition of Commercial Support: This activity has not received external commercial support.

Copyright Statement: © 2016–2018 ACAAI. All rights reserved.

CME Inquiries: Contact the American College of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology at education@acaai.org or 847-427-1200.

Introduction

With the advancement of technologies for genomic sequencing and molecular biology, our understanding of the human gut microbiome structure, function, and relation to human health and disease is rapidly expanding. It is currently estimated that 500 to 1,000 different bacterial species inhabit the mature gastrointestinal tract, with bacterial cells ultimately outnumbering host cells 10-fold.¹ The micro-organisms colonizing the gut perform different functions vital for human health, including processing of dietary constituents, regulation of host metabolism, immune system maturation, and development of oral tolerance.^{1,2} To date, numerous metabolic and immune disorders have been associated with gut microbiota dysbiosis in childhood, including inflammatory bowel disease, celiac disease, obesity, allergy, and asthma.²

As we learn more about the importance of microbiota to human health, there is growing interest in unearthing microbiome-based biomarkers that predict later disease and could be used to develop future therapeutic targets. This review article provides an overview of the association between gut microbiota and childhood allergic disease and related conditions, including allergic sensitization, atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis, asthma, and summarizes research, guidelines, and recommendations to date on prevention and management strategies involving the gut microbiome. A glossary of common terms in the microbiome literature is presented in Table 1.

Development of the Human Gut Microbiome

The earliest gut colonizers encompass a mixture of cutaneous and enteric facultative anaerobes dominated by lactic acid bacteria

(eg, species of *Lactobacillus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Streptococcus*) and coagulase-negative staphylococci. Populations of these non-specialists become incrementally displaced by obligate anaerobes (eg, species of *Bifidobacterium*, *Bacteroides*, *Clostridium*, and *Eubacterium*). Ultimately, this succession process culminates in the establishment of a stable “climax community” of microbes resembling the adult microbiota by 3 years of age,³ with studies in Western populations demonstrating maturation as soon as 1 year.^{4–6}

There is convincing evidence that gut microbiota have coevolved with the infant immune system. Early colonizers play an important role in the development of adaptive and innate immunity; commensal microbes contribute toward intestinal barrier function, produce antimicrobial factors that inhibit colonization by pathogenic bacteria, and enhance regulatory B- and T-cell responses.¹ The temporal succession of the intestinal microbiota is strongly influenced by different dietary and medical exposures,^{6,7} and disruption in early life can have long-term consequences for child health. Method of birth and infant feeding are 2 key factors determining early colonization patterns. Vaginal delivery provides the neonate with a critical “inoculation” of maternal micro-organisms, while breastfeeding transfers additional microbes and supplies prebiotic human milk oligosaccharides that drive selection of the gut microbiota.^{7,8} Backhed et al⁶ recently showed that mother-to-infant transmission of important bacterial taxa, including *Bacteroides* and *Bifidobacterium* species, were less frequent in infants born by cesarean section. This study also found the microbiota of non-breastfed infants to be associated with enrichment of bacteria typically found in adult microbiota, suggesting that cessation of breastfeeding (rather than the introduction of solid food) drives maturation of the gut microbiota.⁵

Table 1
Commonly used terms in the microbiome literature

Term	Definition
16S rRNA amplicon sequencing	Reconstruction of taxonomic composition of a microbial community based on sequences of a single taxonomically distinctive portion of the ribosomal gene.
Community	Populations of ≥ 2 different species in a common environment.
Culture-independent methods	Techniques used to study microbiota that are not dependent on traditional bacterial plate culturing; examples include qPCR, DGGE, FISH, and NGS.
α -diversity	Overall biological variety <i>within</i> an individual environment or a set of environments, also called “richness”; common metrics include Chao1, Shannon, and Simpson indices.
β -diversity	Comparative similarity of biological composition <i>between</i> 2 environments or sets of environments.
Dysbiosis	Imbalance in compositional or functional homeostasis of a normally stable, polymicrobial environment.
Microbiome	Collective genomes of the combined microbial populations in an environment.
Microbiota	Combined microbial populations in an environment.
NGS	Methods for simultaneous sequencing of large numbers of DNA fragments, also known as high-throughput sequencing; examples include Illumina sequencing and 454 pyrosequencing.
OTU (operational taxonomic unit)	Group of highly similar or identical sequences representing a group of organisms related at some taxonomic level (eg, species, genus, etc).
Prebiotics	Nondigestible carbohydrates that stimulate the growth and/or activity of beneficial gut bacteria.
Probiotics	Live micro-organisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host; probiotics evaluated for allergy prevention primarily include <i>Bifidobacteria</i> and <i>Lactobacilli</i> species.
Shotgun metagenomics	Reconstruction of whole genomes of multiple organisms from a single sample by assembling many overlapping short sequences.
Symbiosis	Relation between ≥ 2 different species in which ≥ 1 of the partners benefits in some way.

Abbreviations: DGGE, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; NGS, next-generation sequencing; q-PCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

From microbial profiling of meconium, the infant's first stool, there is evidence that the first microbes to colonize the gut influence community composition later in infancy.⁹ Exactly when colonization of meconium occurs is the subject of current investigation. For well over a century, Escherich's¹⁰ proposition that the fetal gastrointestinal tract is absent of microbial colonization has been generally accepted despite reports to the contrary as long ago as the 1930s.⁸ In 2008, Jimenez et al¹¹ confirmed the non-sterility of infant meconium using careful culture techniques. With the continued application of next-generation sequencing methods to profile meconium microbiota,¹² we will gain a better understanding of the early temporal succession of gut microbiota and the environmental factors that influence this developmental process.

Intestinal Microbiota Biomarkers in Allergic Disease and Related Conditions

The widely recognized "hygiene hypothesis" theorizes that lack of exposure to external micro-organisms and infection in childhood inhibits natural immune development and predisposes to chronic disease in later life.¹³ More recently, this theory has been expanded to encompass the "microflora hypothesis," placing emphasis on alteration of the commensal gut microbiota during infancy as a potential cause of immune dysregulation and allergic disease.¹⁴ Epidemiologic evidence supporting this concept has shown that children with greater microbial exposure in early life, through farming environments, pets, older siblings, and daycare settings, have a lower risk of developing asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis, and atopic dermatitis.^{15–17} Natural exposure to maternal microbiota through vaginal delivery and breastfeeding also has been associated with a lower incidence of allergic conditions.^{18–20}

The first study exploring the hypothesis that allergic disease is associated with an aberrant microbiota in childhood was conducted in Sweden in the 1990s.²¹ Using traditional culture-based techniques, gut microbiota were compared between children in Estonia, where the prevalence of allergic disease is low, and Sweden, where allergy prevalence is relatively high. Allergic children in the 2 countries (confirmed by clinical history and positive skin prick test reaction to egg or cow's milk) were less frequently colonized with lactobacilli, had larger counts and proportions of aerobic bacteria, and smaller proportions of Bacteroidetes than nonallergic children. More recently, studies using culture-independent next-generation sequencing techniques have identified several potential microbial biomarkers of allergic disease, including members of the Bacteroidaceae family and *Clostridium* genus as recently reviewed by Melli et al.²² Bacteroidaceae stimulate epithelial mucin production and degradation required for epithelial barrier function, and *Clostridium* species have been shown to strongly influence host immunity.^{23,24} Bacteroidetes are often decreased after cesarean section delivery^{7,25} and *Clostridium difficile* are over-represented in non-breastfed infants;^{7,26} these 2 early exposures have been variably associated with an increased risk of allergy-associated conditions.^{27,28}

Recently published prospective studies investigating associations between intestinal microbiota and allergic disease and related conditions from the past 5 years are presented in Table 2.^{29–41} In a cross-sectional study, Ling et al⁴² reported smaller proportions of Bacteroidetes and larger proportions of Firmicutes (including Clostridiaceae) in 5-month-old food-allergic infants. Providing evidence that these changes might precede the development of disease, a prospective study by Nylund et al²⁹ found lower abundance of Bacteroidetes and greater abundance of *Clostridium* clusters IV and XIVa at 18 months in children subsequently diagnosed with eczema. Similar results from a Swedish cohort of 40 infants associated atopic eczema at 2 years with a lower diversity of Bacteroidetes at 1 month of age.³⁰ In the KOALA birth cohort of nearly 1,000 infants, colonization with *C. difficile* at 1 month of age was

associated with an increased risk of eczema, recurrent wheeze, allergic sensitization, and asthma by 7 years of age.³¹ Colonization with *Clostridium coccoides* subcluster XIVa also has been associated with an increased risk of asthma at 3 years of age.³² Recent findings from the Canadian Healthy Infant Longitudinal Development (CHILD) general population cohort study, using next-generation Illumina sequencing to profile the gut microbiota of 166 infants, identified a higher ratio of Enterobacteriaceae to Bacteroidaceae at 3 months of age to be predictive of food sensitization at 1 year.³³ The ratio of Enterobacteriaceae to Bacteroidaceae could be a marker of gut microbiota immaturity because Bacteroidaceae tend to become more dominant with age. Importantly, these associations were still evident in analyses excluding infants with major microbiota-disrupting exposures (cesarean delivery, antibiotics, or formula feeding). A nested case–control study examining the gut microbiota of 319 infants enrolled in the same CHILD cohort found that children with a high risk of asthma at school age (classified as those with atopy and wheeze at 1 year) exhibited transient gut microbial dysbiosis during the first 100 days of life. The relative abundances of *Lachnospira*, *Veillonella*, *Rothia*, and *Faecalibacterium* species were significantly decreased in children with atopy.³⁴ Another recent study demonstrated deficiency in Bacteroidetes in pregnant mothers of infants with IgE-associated eczema,³⁵ although this deficiency was not confirmed in infant microbiota. This study of 20 mother–infant dyads further showed a depletion of Ruminococcaceae in infants developing IgE-associated eczema and demonstrated correlations between specific microbial taxa and inflammatory cytokine responses.

Although compelling evidence for microbiota associations with allergic disease and related conditions is emerging, some studies have failed to find differences in infant microbiota according to later allergic status^{36,43} or have found associations with some allergic phenotypes but not others.^{37,38} Heterogeneity in study design, including sampling time points, methods used to characterize microbiota, and different allergic phenotypes under study, make it difficult to establish a causal relation between specific bacterial taxa and development of allergy. To date, no specific bacterial taxa have been consistently associated with allergic disease or other related conditions. With this in mind, some studies have suggested that early diversity of gut microbiota might be more important than the presence or absence of specific taxa.^{30,36,39,40,43–45} Several prospective studies have found decreased microbial diversity to precede the development of eczema,^{30,40,44,45} atopic sensitization and allergic rhinitis,³⁹ and asthma.³⁶ Some studies measuring microbial diversity in older infants (>3 months) have not found similar associations,^{30,33,42} suggesting that very early infancy could be a critical period for microbe–host interactions contributing to immune system development. Other studies are conflicting²⁹ or have not found associations between early gut microbial diversity and allergic sensitization,³⁶ eczema,^{36,39} or asthma.³⁹

Despite a wealth of studies, continued investigation in this area focusing on data from large longitudinal cohorts is required to confirm which deviations in microbial development in early infancy are important in the later development of atopic disease, including those that might present novel targets for intervention.

Probiotics and Prebiotics for the Prevention and Management of Allergic Disease

Probiotics are defined as live micro-organisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host. Given their potential to regulate gut microbiota and modulate immune responses, there is increasing interest in the application of probiotics to prevent or manage allergic disease. To date, probiotics evaluated in clinical trials for allergy prevention or treatment

Table 2Studies on the association between infant intestinal microbiota and development of allergic disease and related conditions published in the past 5 years^a

Allergic disease	Association with gut microbiota	Study
Atopic dermatitis or eczema	Lower relative abundance of gram-positive Ruminococcaceae at 1 wk of age in infants developing IgE-associated eczema by 2.5 y of age.	West et al, 2015 ^{35,b}
	Greater diversity at 18 mo of age; lower abundance of <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and greater abundance of <i>Clostridium</i> clusters IV and XIVa (Firmicutes phylum) at 18 mo in infants with eczema at 2 y of age.	Nylund et al, 2013 ^{29,b}
	Lower microbiota diversity at 1 wk in infants with eczema at 12 mo of age.	Ismail et al, 2012 ^{40,b}
	Lower microbiota diversity at 1 mo; lesser diversity of phylum Bacteroidetes and genus <i>Bacteroides</i> at 1 mo; lower abundance of Proteobacteria at 12 mo in children with IgE-associated eczema at 2 y of age.	Abrahamsson et al, 2012 ³⁰
Allergic sensitization	Colonization by <i>Clostridium difficile</i> at 1 mo associated with eczema throughout the first 6 y of life.	van Nimwegen et al, 2011 ³¹
	Colonization with <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> at 1 mo inversely associated with risk of atopic dermatitis at 2 y of age.	Penders et al, 2010 ³⁸
	Lower microbiota richness at 3 mo; higher Enterobacteriaceae/Bacteroidaceae ratio at 3 and 12 mo in food-sensitized children at 1 y.	Azad et al, 2015 ³³
Asthma or asthma risk	Fewer Lactobacilli in the first weeks of life; lower colonization with <i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i> at 1 wk of age in sensitized children at 5 y of age.	Johansson et al, 2011 ⁴¹
	Lower microbiota diversity at 1 and 12 mo in sensitized children during the first 6 y of life.	Bisgaard et al, 2011 ^{39,b}
	Lower levels of <i>Escherichia coli</i> at 4 mo and 1 y, higher levels of <i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> at 1 y, and lower levels of <i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> at 2 y of age in sensitized infants.	Storro et al, 2011 ³⁷
Asthma or asthma risk	Decreased relative abundances of <i>Lachnospira</i> , <i>Veillonella</i> , <i>Rothia</i> , and <i>Faecalibacterium</i> during first 100 d in children classified as high risk of developing asthma in childhood (children with atopy and/or wheeze at 1 y).	Arrieta et al, 2015 ³⁴
	Lower microbiota diversity at 1 wk and 1 mo in children developing asthma by 7 y of age.	Abrahamsson et al, 2014 ³⁶
	Colonization by <i>Clostridium difficile</i> at 1 mo of age associated with asthma at 6 y of age.	van Nimwegen et al, 2011 ³¹
	Colonization with <i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> group and/or to <i>Clostridium coccoides</i> subcluster XIVa at 3 wk associated with increased risk of asthma at 3 y of age.	Vael et al, 2011 ³²

^aIncludes studies from past 5 years in which microbiota were analyzed before allergic disease outcome.^bInfants at high risk of allergic disease.

primarily include species of *Bifidobacteria* (eg, *B bifidum*, *B lactis*, *B breve*, *B longum*) and *Lactobacillus* (eg, *L reuteri*, *L rhamnosus* GG, *L acidophilus*, *L casei*, *L salivarius*, *L paracasei*).⁴⁶

Overall Evidence and Recommendations for Probiotics in Allergic Disease

Recent guidelines and systematic reviews addressing the use of probiotics for allergy prevention or management are presented in

Table 3.^{46–55} The World Allergy Organization (WAO) convened a panel of clinicians and researchers to develop evidence-based recommendations for the use of probiotics in allergy prevention. The resulting guideline statement was released in 2015,⁴⁷ informed by a systematic review⁴⁶ of 29 randomized trials evaluating the effects of probiotics administered to pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, or infants. Probiotics were found to lower the risk of eczema when used by women during the last trimester of

Table 3

Recent guidelines and systematic reviews of probiotic and prebiotic interventions for the prevention or management of allergic disease

Guideline (study)	Statement or recommendation
World Allergy Organization and McMaster University guidelines for allergic disease prevention: probiotics (Fiocchi et al, 2015 ⁴⁷)	Probiotics recommended for (1) pregnant women at high risk of having an allergic child, (2) women who are breastfeeding a high-risk infant, and (3) infants at high risk of developing allergy; there is likely a net benefit resulting primarily from prevention of eczema. No guidance on specific probiotic strains or dosage.
European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines (Murarao et al, 2014 ⁴⁹)	There is no evidence to support the use of prebiotics or probiotics for food allergy prevention in pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, or infants.
Food and Agriculture Organization and World Health Organization guidelines on probiotics (Morelli and Capurso, 2012 ⁵⁴)	No specific recommendations about the use of probiotics in pregnant women or infants.
Systematic review topic (study)	Main findings (number of studies; participants included)
Probiotics and allergy prevention (Cuello-Garcia et al, 2015 ⁴⁶)	Probiotics used by pregnant women or breastfeeding mothers and/or given to infants decreased risk of eczema in infants (very low quality evidence); no effect on prevention of other allergic conditions (29 studies; 4927 pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers or infants).
Prebiotics and allergy prevention (Osborn and Sinn, 2013 ⁵²)	Prebiotics added to infant formula decreased risk of eczema; no evidence for prevention of other allergic conditions (4 trials; 1428 infants).
Probiotics and AD prevention (Panduru et al, 2015 ⁵⁵)	Probiotics decreased risk of AD in general and high-risk populations; supplementation in pre- and postnatal periods was required; postnatal supplementation alone had no effect (16 trials; 3,495 pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, or infants).
Probiotics and long-term AD prevention (Cao et al, 2015 ⁵³)	Probiotics decreased long-term risk of AD (6 trials with >5-y follow-up; 1,955 pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, or infants).
Probiotics and AD treatment (Kim et al, 2014 ⁴⁸)	Probiotics decreased AD symptoms in adults and children, but no benefit for infants (25 trials; 1,599 infants, children, or adults).
Probiotics and asthma prevention (Azad et al, 2013 ⁵⁰)	Probiotics had no effect on risk of diagnosed asthma or childhood wheeze (20 trials; 4,866 pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, or infants).
Probiotics and allergic rhinitis treatment (Zajac et al, 2015 ⁵¹)	Probiotics improved Rhinitis Quality of Life scores, but had no effect on Rhinitis Total Symptom scores or total IgE levels (23 studies; 1,919 children or adults).

Abbreviation: AD, atopic dermatitis.

pregnancy (pooled relative risk [RR] 0.71, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.60–0.84), by breastfeeding mothers (RR 0.57, 95% CI 0.47–0.69), or when given to infants (RR 0.80, 95% CI 0.68–0.94). Evidence did not support an effect on asthma, food allergy, allergic rhinitis, or adverse events. Consistent with other recent reviews,^{56–58} the WAO panel found there was insufficient evidence to generally support the use of probiotic supplementation in the primary prevention of allergic disease, but reported modest evidence for net beneficial effects in the prevention of eczema in high-risk children with a family history of allergic disease.^{46,47,58} Despite acknowledging the low or very low quality of evidence, the panel concluded that the potential benefits of probiotics in this population outweigh any potential harms and made conditional recommendations for the use of probiotics by (1) pregnant women at high risk of having a child with allergy, (2) women who are breastfeeding a high-risk infant, and (3) infants at high risk of developing allergy.⁴⁷ No recommendation was made regarding the type or dose of probiotic to be used in these populations.

Probiotics and Atopic Dermatitis

Supporting the WAO Guidelines,⁴⁷ a new meta-analysis by Cao et al⁵³ reviewed the long-term effect of early-life probiotic use on the prevalence of atopic dermatitis. In 6 trials with a minimum follow-up duration of 5 years, probiotic supplementation during pregnancy or early infancy decreased the development of atopic dermatitis by 14% (RR 0.86, 95% CI 0.77–0.96). Probiotic treatment of atopic dermatitis also is an active area of research.⁵⁹ A 2014 meta-analysis of 25 probiotic trials reported significant improvement in symptoms in adults and children receiving probiotics, although no benefit was shown for infants.⁴⁸ A new study of 43 children found that a 4-week course of *L salivarius* significantly improved clinical parameters from baseline,⁶⁰ and a randomized trial of 40 infants found that 4 weeks of treatment with *B bifidum* significantly improved symptoms compared with placebo.⁶¹

Probiotics and Other Allergic Conditions

Evidence for probiotics in the prevention or management of other allergy-related conditions remains low and inconclusive. Recent reviews do not recommend probiotics for the prevention or treatment of asthma, allergic rhinitis, or food allergy.^{49,50,56,59,62–64} A meta-analysis of 20 trials found no decrease in the incidence of wheeze (RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.87–1.09) or physician-diagnosed asthma (RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.81–1.21) after early-life probiotic supplementation.⁵⁰ In a systematic review of 23 studies evaluating probiotics for the treatment of allergic rhinitis, Zajac et al⁵¹ found significant improvement in quality-of-life scores, but no effect on total symptom scores or IgE levels. In the new European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology guidelines for food allergy and anaphylaxis, the prevention taskforce found no evidence to support the use of probiotics for food allergy prevention.⁴⁹ Studies are ongoing to evaluate the potential effect of probiotics for the treatment of food allergy,⁶⁴ with a recent trial by Tang et al⁶² showing promising results for a coadministration strategy combining probiotic supplementation with oral immunotherapy for peanut allergy.

Prebiotics for the Prevention and Management of Allergic Disease

Prebiotics are nondigestible food ingredients that benefit the host by selectively stimulating the growth or activity of intestinal microbiota. Human milk is rich in prebiotic oligosaccharides, and synthetic oligosaccharides are increasingly being added to infant formulas, with the goal of stimulating “healthy” gut microbiota development.⁶⁵ Recent animal studies have suggested that prebiotic supplementation could attenuate food allergy symptoms,⁶⁶ prevent allergic asthma,⁶⁷ or diminish allergic sensitization in

offspring when provided to pregnant or lactating mothers,⁶⁸ however, clinical evidence is lacking. A 2013 Cochrane review identified 4 randomized trials providing prebiotics to infants and found a significant decrease in eczema (RR 0.68, 95% CI 0.48–0.97) but no effect on other allergic outcomes.⁵² A new trial by Sierra et al⁶⁹ found no difference in allergic manifestations (wheezing, atopic dermatitis, food allergy) after prebiotic supplementation of healthy formula-fed infants for the first year of life.

Summary

The use of probiotics and prebiotics for allergic disease prevention and management is an active area of clinical research; however, current evidence is limited and conflicting. Thus far, these microbiota-targeting supplements have shown more promise for primary prevention vs treatment of established allergic disease.⁶² Evidence summaries are complicated by significant heterogeneity between studies in outcome measurements, study design, and especially probiotic strain, dose, and timing of administration.^{49–51,53,59–61,64} The absence of a pooled effect from different probiotics does not exclude the possibility that a certain strain or combination could be effective,⁵⁹ and effects might depend critically on the timing of administration. Several studies have found that prenatal supplementation is more effective than postnatal use, with combined strategies having the greatest impact.^{53,59,64} Moreover, the physiologic response to probiotic supplementation is likely affected by host immunity and the existing microbiota. For example, in the trial conducted by Abrahamsson et al⁷⁰ of maternal and infant supplementation with *L reuteri*, levels of this probiotic strain unexpectedly decreased over the course of supplementation and were surprisingly lower in breastfed vs formula-fed infants, despite detection of *L reuteri* in maternal milk. These findings suggest that resident microbiota might “out compete” probiotic strains over time, and that enhanced immunity (eg, resulting from breastfeeding) could prohibit permanent colonization by probiotic bacteria. Thus, although significant progress has been made toward understanding the potential application of probiotics and prebiotics in allergic disease and related conditions, much remains unknown and further research is required to identify the most effective probiotic strains and optimize the dose and timing of administration.

Future Directions

Rapid advances in gene sequencing technology have improved our ability to characterize the human microbiome in leaps and bounds. However, we still do not know what constitutes a healthy gut microbiota in the infant and which microbes are crucial in the development of specific atopic phenotypes. This will require further research in well-designed longitudinal cohorts combined with experimental studies in animal models to characterize complex host–microbe interactions.

At the same time, disappointing results with probiotic interventions have led to a search for other therapies that can repair “dysbiosis” of gut microbiota, such as fecal microbial transplantation. Although fecal microbial transplantation has proved quite effective in the treatment of recurrent *C difficile* infection,⁷¹ individuals with this refractory condition are prime candidates for fecal microbial transplantation because repeated exposure to antibiotics has wiped out their gut microbial community. It remains to be determined whether this approach can be applied for the prevention or treatment of allergic disease. Improved characterization of the human microbiome also has led to the “discovery” of the airway microbiome with dysbiosis observed in adults with asthma.⁷² The idea that asthma could result from disruption of early airway colonization also might explain why ingested probiotics are ineffective in preventing its development.

Evidence is accumulating for an early developmental window during infancy when gut microbes program our immune system. Repairing microbiota dysbiosis at an older age might be ineffective in restoring a healthy immune phenotype; thus, it will be important to carefully study the timing of gut colonization, microbiota succession, and immune development to identify optimal time windows for intervention. Conversely, the programming of host immunity might not be driven directly by microbiota, but rather by the metabolites they produce (eg, short-chain fatty acids). Despite dramatic shifts in taxonomy with advancing age during infancy, the metabolic capacity and metabolite content of the gut are relatively stable, indicating redundancy in microbial function.⁷³ This thesis opens up the possibility of using microbial metabolites to prevent atopic disease.

Conclusion

There is mounting evidence from observational studies that disruption of early gut colonization and microbiota diversity precede the development of allergic disease and related conditions. Clinical trials have demonstrated that manipulation of the gut microbiota with prebiotics or probiotics might be effective in the primary prevention of atopic dermatitis, but currently there is no compelling evidence for other allergic conditions. According to the most recent WAO guidelines,⁴⁷ prenatal and postnatal probiotic supplementation can be recommended for mothers and infants at high risk for allergic disease, although further research is needed to identify the most effective probiotic strains and treatment regimens. Continued investigation also is required to confirm which deviations of infant gut colonization or functional activities of the microbiota are important in the etiology of allergic disease, which could provide novel targets for future intervention.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Amy Dytnerki for her assistance in conducting literature searches for this review.

References

- [1] Sommer F, Backhed F. The gut microbiota—masters of host development and physiology. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2013;11:227–238.
- [2] Buccigrossi V, Nicastro E, Guarino A. Functions of intestinal microflora in children. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol*. 2013;29:31–38.
- [3] Yatsunenkov T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, et al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. *Nature*. 2012;486:222–227.
- [4] Dogra S, Sakwinska O, Soh SE, et al. Dynamics of infant gut microbiota are influenced by delivery mode and gestational duration and are associated with subsequent adiposity. *mBio*. 2015;6.
- [5] Hesla HM, Stenius F, Jaderlund L, et al. Impact of lifestyle on the gut microbiota of healthy infants and their mothers—the ALADDIN birth cohort. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2014;90:791–801.
- [6] Backhed F, Roswall J, Peng Y, et al. Dynamics and stabilization of the human gut microbiome during the first year of life. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2015;17:690–703.
- [7] Azad MB, Konya T, Maughan H, et al. Gut microbiota of healthy Canadian infants: profiles by mode of delivery and infant diet at 4 months. *CMAJ*. 2013;185:385–394.
- [8] Wopereis H, Oozeer R, Knipping K, Belzer C, Knol J. The first thousand days—intestinal microbiology of early life: establishing a symbiosis. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2014;25:428–438.
- [9] Gosalbes MJ, Llop S, Valles Y, et al. Meconium microbiota types dominated by lactic acid or enteric bacteria are differentially associated with maternal eczema and respiratory problems in infants. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2013;43:198–211.
- [10] Escherich T. 1886. Die Darmbakterien des Säuglings und ihre Beziehungen zur Physiologie der Verdauung. Stuttgart: F. Enke; 1886.
- [11] Jimenez E, Marin ML, Martin R, et al. Is meconium from healthy newborns actually sterile? *Res Microbiol*. 2008;159:187–193.
- [12] Hansen R, Scott KP, Khan S, et al. First-pass meconium samples from healthy term vaginally-delivered neonates: an analysis of the microbiota. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0133320.
- [13] Strachan DP. Hay fever, hygiene, and household size. *BMJ*. 1989;299:1259–1260.
- [14] Bendiks M, Kopp MV. The relationship between advances in understanding the microbiome and the maturing hygiene hypothesis. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2013;13:487–494.
- [15] Ege MJ, Mayer M, Normand AC, et al. Exposure to environmental microorganisms and childhood asthma. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:701–709.
- [16] Strachan DP, Ait-Khaled N, Foliaki S, et al. Siblings, asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema: a worldwide perspective from the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2015;45:126–136.
- [17] Pelucchi C, Galeone C, Bach JF, La VC, Chatenoud L. Pet exposure and risk of atopic dermatitis at the pediatric age: a meta-analysis of birth cohort studies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;132:616–622.
- [18] Huang L, Chen Q, Zhao Y, et al. Is elective cesarean section associated with a higher risk of asthma? A meta-analysis. *J Asthma*. 2015;52:16–25.
- [19] Dogaru CM, Nyffenegger D, Pescatore AM, Spycher BD, Kuehni CE. Breast-feeding and childhood asthma: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2014;179:1153–1167.
- [20] Kramer MS. Breastfeeding and allergy: the evidence. *Ann Nutr Metab*. 2011;59(suppl 1):20–26.
- [21] Bjorksten B, Naaber P, Sepp E, Mikelsaar M. The intestinal microflora in allergic Estonian and Swedish 2-year-old children. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 1999;29:342–346.
- [22] Melli LC, do Carmo-Rodrigues MS, Araujo-Filho HB, Sole D, de Moraes MB. Intestinal microbiota and allergic diseases: a systematic review [published online ahead of print May 15, 2015]. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)*. pii:S0301-0546(15) 00059-2.
- [23] McGuckin MA, Linden SK, Sutton P, Florin TH. Mucin dynamics and enteric pathogens. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2011;9:265–278.
- [24] Lopetuso LR, Scaldaferrri F, Petito V, Gasbarrini A. Commensal Clostridia: leading players in the maintenance of gut homeostasis. *Gut Pathog*. 2013;5:23.
- [25] Jakobsson HE, Abrahamsson TR, Jenmalm MC, et al. Decreased gut microbiota diversity, delayed Bacteroidetes colonisation and reduced Th1 responses in infants delivered by Caesarean section. *Gut*. 2013;63:559–566.
- [26] Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, et al. Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118:511–521.
- [27] Almqvist C, Oberg AS. The association between caesarean section and asthma or allergic disease continues to challenge. *Acta Paediatr*. 2014;103:349–351.
- [28] Lodge CJ, Tan DJ, Lau M, et al. Breastfeeding and asthma and allergies: a systematic review and meta-analysis [published online ahead of print July 20, 2015]. *Acta Paediatr*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apa.13132>.
- [29] Nylund L, Satokari R, Nikkila J, et al. Microarray analysis reveals marked intestinal microbiota aberrancy in infants having eczema compared to healthy children in at-risk for atopic disease. *BMC Microbiol*. 2013;13:12.
- [30] Abrahamsson TR, Jakobsson HE, Andersson AF, et al. Low diversity of the gut microbiota in infants with atopic eczema. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2012;129:434–440.
- [31] van Nimwegen FA, Penders J, Stobberingh EE, et al. Mode and place of delivery, gastrointestinal microbiota, and their influence on asthma and atopy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011;128:948–955.
- [32] Vael C, Vanheirstraeten L, Desager KN, Goossens H. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of neonatal intestinal microbiota in relation to the development of asthma. *BMC Microbiol*. 2011;11:68.
- [33] Azad MB, Konya T, Guttman DS, et al. Infant gut microbiota and food sensitization: associations in the first year of life. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2015;45:632–643.
- [34] Arrieta MC, Stiemsma LT, Dimitriou PA, et al. Early infancy microbial and metabolic alterations impact risk of childhood asthma. *Sci Transl Med*. 2015;7:307ra152.
- [35] West CE, Ryden P, Lundin D, et al. Gut microbiome and innate immune response patterns in IgE-associated eczema. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2015;45:1419–1429.
- [36] Abrahamsson TR, Jakobsson HE, Andersson AF, et al. Low gut microbiota diversity in early infancy precedes asthma at school age. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2014;44:842–850.
- [37] Storro O, Oien T, Langsrud O, et al. Temporal variations in early gut microbial colonization are associated with allergen-specific immunoglobulin E but not atopic eczema at 2 years of age. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2011;41:1545–1554.
- [38] Penders J, Thijs C, Mommers M, et al. Intestinal lactobacilli and the DC-SIGN gene for their recognition by dendritic cells play a role in the aetiology of allergic manifestations. *Microbiology*. 2010;156:3298–3305.
- [39] Bisgaard H, Li N, Bonnelykke K, et al. Reduced diversity of the intestinal microbiota during infancy is associated with increased risk of allergic disease at school age. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011;128:646–652.
- [40] Ismail IH, Oppedisano F, Joseph SJ, et al. Reduced gut microbial diversity in early life is associated with later development of eczema but not atopy in high-risk infants. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2012;23:674–681.
- [41] Johansson MA, Sjogren YM, Persson JO, Nilsson C, Sverremerik-Ekstrom E. Early colonization with a group of Lactobacilli decreases the risk for allergy at five years of age despite allergic heredity. *PLoS One*. 2011;6:e23031.
- [42] Ling Z, Li Z, Liu X, et al. Altered fecal microbiota composition associated with food allergy in infants. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2014;80:2546–2554.
- [43] Adlerberth I, Strachan DP, Matricardi PM, et al. Gut microbiota and development of atopic eczema in 3 European birth cohorts. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2007;120:343–350.
- [44] Wang M, Karlsson C, Olsson C, et al. Reduced diversity in the early fecal microbiota of infants with atopic eczema. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008;121:129–134.

- [45] Forno E, Onderdonk AB, McCracken J, et al. Diversity of the gut microbiota and eczema in early life. *Clin Mol Allergy*. 2008;6:11.
- [46] Cuello-García CA, Brozek JL, Fiocchi A, et al. Probiotics for the prevention of allergy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials [published online ahead of print June 2015]. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. pii: S0091-6749(15) 00636-3.
- [47] Fiocchi A, Pawankar R, Cuello-García C, et al. World Allergy Organization–McMaster University Guidelines for Allergic Disease Prevention (GLAD-P): probiotics. *World Allergy Organ J*. 2015;8:4.
- [48] Kim SO, Ah YM, Yu YM, et al. Effects of probiotics for the treatment of atopic dermatitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2014;113:217–226.
- [49] Muraro A, Halken S, Arshad SH, et al. EAACI food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines. Primary prevention of food allergy. *Allergy*. 2014;69:590–601.
- [50] Azad MB, Coneys JG, Kozyrskyj AL, et al. Probiotic supplementation during pregnancy or infancy for the prevention of asthma and wheeze: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;347:f6471.
- [51] Zajac AE, Adams AS, Turner JH. A systematic review and meta-analysis of probiotics for the treatment of allergic rhinitis. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2015;5:524–532.
- [52] Osborn DA, Sinn JK. Prebiotics in infants for prevention of allergy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;3:CD006474.
- [53] Cao L, Wang L, Yang L, et al. Long-term effect of early-life supplementation with probiotics on preventing atopic dermatitis: a meta-analysis. *J Dermatol Treat*. 2015;1–4.
- [54] Morelli L, Capurso L. FAO/WHO guidelines on probiotics: 10 years later. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2012;46(suppl):S1–S2.
- [55] Panduru M, Panduru NM, Salavastru CM, Tiplica GS. Probiotics and primary prevention of atopic dermatitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2015;29:232–242.
- [56] Smith-Norowitz TA, Bluth MH. Probiotics and diseases of altered IgE regulation: a short review. *J Immunotoxicol*. 2015:1–5.
- [57] Cabana MD. Early probiotic supplementation for the prevention of atopic disease in newborns—probiotics and the hygiene hypothesis. *Biosci Microflora*. 2011;30:129–133.
- [58] Rueter K, Prescott SL, Palmer DJ. Nutritional approaches for the primary prevention of allergic disease: an update. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2015;51:962–969.
- [59] Ismail IH, Licciardi PV, Tang ML. Probiotic effects in allergic disease. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2013;49:709–715.
- [60] Niccoli AA, Artesi AL, Candio F, et al. Preliminary results on clinical effects of probiotic *Lactobacillus salivarius* LS01 in children affected by atopic dermatitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2014;48(suppl 1):S34–S36.
- [61] Lin RJ, Qiu LH, Guan RZ, et al. Protective effect of probiotics in the treatment of infantile eczema. *Exp Ther Med*. 2015;9:1593–1596.
- [62] Tang RB, Chang JK, Chen HL. Can probiotics be used to treat allergic diseases? *J Chin Med Assoc*. 2015;78:154–157.
- [63] Lau S. Bacterial lysates in food allergy prevention. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;13:293–295.
- [64] Nermes M, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Is there a role for probiotics in the prevention or treatment of food allergy? *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2013;13:622–630.
- [65] Vandenplas Y, Zakharova I, Dmitrieva Y. Oligosaccharides in infant formula: more evidence to validate the role of prebiotics. *Br J Nutr*. 2015;113:1339–1344.
- [66] Castillo-Courtade L, Han S, Lee S, et al. Attenuation of food allergy symptoms following treatment with human milk oligosaccharides in a mouse model. *Allergy*. 2015;70:1091–1102.
- [67] Verheijden KA, Willemsen LE, Braber S, et al. Dietary galacto-oligosaccharides prevent airway eosinophilia and hyperresponsiveness in a murine house dust mite-induced asthma model. *Respir Res*. 2015;16:17.
- [68] Hogenkamp A, Knippels LM, Garssen J, van Esch BC. Supplementation of mice with specific nondigestible oligosaccharides during pregnancy or lactation leads to diminished sensitization and allergy in the female offspring. *J Nutr*. 2015;145:996–1002.
- [69] Sierra C, Bernal MJ, Blasco J, et al. Probiotic effect during the first year of life in healthy infants fed formula containing GOS as the only prebiotic: a multi-centre, randomised, double-blind and placebo-controlled trial. *Eur J Nutr*. 2015;54:89–99.
- [70] Abrahamsson TR, Sinkiewicz G, Jakobsson T, Fredrikson M, Björkstén B. Probiotic lactobacilli in breast milk and infant stool in relation to oral intake during the first year of life. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2009;49:349–354.
- [71] Petrof EO, Khoruts A. From stool transplants to next-generation microbiota therapeutics. *Gastroenterology*. 2014;146:1573–1582.
- [72] Huang YJ, Boushey HA. The microbiome in asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;135:25–30.
- [73] Kostic AD, Gevers D, Siljander H, et al. The dynamics of the human infant gut microbiome in development and in progression toward type 1 diabetes. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2015;17:260–273.